MEREDITH & KEYHANI, PLLC

125 Park Avenue, 25th Floor New York, New York 10017 212-760-0098 Facsimile: 212-202-3819 www.meredithkeyhani.com

Writer's Direct Email:
dkeyhani@meredithkeyhani.com
Direct Dial: 212-380-1325

July 18, 2018

VIA ECF

United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Room 705 New York, NY 10007

Re: Bytemark, Inc. v. Xerox Corp. et al., 1:17-cv-01803-PGG

Dear Judge Gardephe:

We represent the Plaintiff in the above referenced matter. Plaintiff writes this letter to respectfully provide the Court with an update in connection with Defendants' Xerox Corp, ACS Transport Solutions, Inc., Xerox Transport Solutions Inc., Conduent Inc., and New Jersey Transit Corp.'s petitions for Covered Business Method Review (CBM) of U.S. Pat. Nos. 8,494,967 and 9,239,993, which Defendants notified the Court of on January 26, 2018 (Dkt. Nos. 59 and 60).

On July 12, 2018 the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office denied in their entirety Defendants' petitions for CBM of both U.S. Pat. Nos. 8,494,967 (CBM2018-00011, Paper 12) and 9,239,993 (CBM2018-00018, Paper 11). There are currently no pending AIA petitions or proceedings (CBM or IPR) relating to the validity of the '993 patent.

Plaintiff asserts ten causes of action against Defendants in this case. *See* Dkt. No. 37. The only pending AIA petition (*inter partes* review of the '967 patent) relates only to one of the causes of action Plaintiff asserts (Count One). The remaining nine causes of action are not encumbered by any pending petitions or proceedings.¹

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Dariush Keyhani Dariush Keyhani

cc: Defendants' counsel of record via ECF

¹ The Patent Trial and Appeal Board will hold a hearing relating to Defendant's petition for *inter partes* review of the '967 patent on August 22, 2018.